
New Zealand Journal of Psychology

EDITOR

Marc Wilson

*School of Psychology
Victoria University of Wellington*

© The material published in this issue is copyright to the New Zealand Psychological Society.

Publication does not necessarily reflect the views of the Society

The New Zealand Journal of Psychology is published online in three editions a year (articles will be posted as they become available) by the **New Zealand Psychological Society Inc.**

Content may include manuscripts and shorter research notes in any substantive area of Psychology, and book reviews. Submitted manuscripts may be (1) empirical, (2) reviews of the literature, or (3) discussions of theoretical/conceptual frameworks of relevance to the practice of Psychology. Manuscripts will be considered for publication if they (a) include data collected from New Zealand samples, or (b) discuss the relevance of issues contained in the manuscript to the New Zealand social and cultural context, or to the practice of Psychology in this country. For further clarification of these requirements, please contact the Editor.

See Instructions to Authors at: <https://www.psychology.org.nz/publications-media/new-zealand-journal-of-psychology/#author-guidelines> for preparation and submission of manuscripts and material.

Subscriptions

Subscription to the Journal is included in Membership dues for the Society. Non-members may subscribe at NZ\$55.00 per volume/year (three issues), plus GST (NZ only). Subscription and advertising enquiries should be addressed to the:

*Business Manager
New Zealand Journal of Psychology
NZ Psychological Society Inc.
(contact details below)*

Production, Printing & Distribution

Is managed by National Office

*NZ Psychological Society Inc.
P.O. Box 10536, The Terrace,
Wellington 6143,
New Zealand
Ph (04) 473 4884
Email: office@psychology.org.nz*

Editor's Introduction

An introduction to this issue, foreshadowing a special 'issue', and advice for authors.

Prelude to this issue: Volume 51, issue 3 presents five articles. They include case studies (e.g., Pine & Bruckner), an 'evaluation' study (Hond-Flavell and colleagues), a survey of lived experience of mental health recovery among people who teach into psychology courses (Sen Gupta and Taylor) and two studies drawing from the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study. As well as highlighting diversity of perspectives and subject matter, I want to specifically comment on the work of Hond-Flavell and colleagues, and Satherley and colleagues. Very different projects, but two that I am extremely happy to present here because they symbolise something I consider a vital role of the Journal.

Below I remind prospective authors of our requirement to establish the relevance of submitted manuscripts to our context in Aotearoa New Zealand. It seems to me this implies that research that holds a mirror to our context is important for us, and both of the highlighted works do this. They are also the products of highly productive research teams – Satherley working from the longitudinal NZAVS, and Hond-Flavell from a team that intersects with another amazing ongoing project hosted at the National Centre for Lifecourse Research. Both these teams have published recently in the Journal, hinting at their productivity but also the relevance of their work for this particular journal. I highlight this to signal that I am explicitly interested in work that says important things about our context – both descriptive and also grounded in the kind of theory that is relevant irrespective of the context. While I can imagine both of the highlighted works being published in international journals, they speak most directly particularly to research, practitioners, and lay-people in Aotearoa.

Advice to authors: Consistent with the imperative of the Journal, *any* submission must clearly articulate relevance in the context of Aotearoa New Zealand. The majority of desk rejections handled by NZJP are rejected for failing to make this case. Additionally, general attention to APA format is desirable – we're happy to convert manuscripts into the NZJP format but it is easier to do so if it is already most of the way there! Additionally, we insist that authors provide de-identified manuscripts, consistent with our historical commitment to 'blind' review. I reserve the right to bounce manuscripts back if this has not been done, and that adds delays to what can be a lengthy process in a pandemic-affected reviewing and publishing world. I appreciate that sometime 'blind' review isn't really blind, as in the case of studies that might explicitly draw from the NZAVS or Centre for Lifecourse Research – folks can guess who likely co-authors are, but I still appreciate authors attention to this requirement. Information about the Journal, and general author guidelines can be found [here](#).

Additionally, the Covid-19 pandemic has dramatically affected the ways that academics work, and this can be seen in much greater difficulty securing reviews (for example, we have experienced significantly more declines of review invitations compared to pre-Covid times). Feel free to suggest reviewers with appropriate expertise (while being aware of conflicts of interest) and we will draw off that list when supplementing the invitations we extend.

Upcoming special 'issue' on Environmental Psychology: Over the coming months we will be posting the accepted submissions for this issue, before they are combined into a single volume. Keep an eye out.

Marc Wilson

New Zealand Journal of Psychology

Volume 51, Number 3 (December), 2022

CONTENTS

HRV Biofeedback Training for Children with Behavioural Disorders in New Zealand: Three Case Studies	<i>Russell Pine and Karen Bruckner</i>	4
Lived experience participation in mental health recovery teaching in university psychology courses	<i>Amiya Sen Gupta and Joanne E. Taylor</i>	13
Kaupapa Māori Early Years Provision and Whānau Wellbeing: A Retrospective Survey at a Taranaki-Based Centre	<i>Erana Hond-Flavell, Gareth J. Treharne, Aroaro Tamati, Reremoana Theodore, Jesse Kokaua, Will Edwards, Ruakere Hond, Richie Poulton, and Mihi Ratima</i>	23
Different Domains of Area-level Deprivation Predict Individual Differences in System Justification and Collective Action Support	<i>Kieran J. Lilly, Chris G. Sibley and Danny Osborne</i>	36
Consistency and Change in Political Party Support Across Three Consecutive New Zealand Elections: Who Switched and Who Stayed Loyal?	<i>Nicole Satherley, Danny Osborne and Chris G. Sibley</i>	49